1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

LEO SYNORACKI, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff,

v.

ALASKA AIRLINES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-01784-RSL

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

## I. INTRODUCTION

The parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, jointly submit this stipulated motion to extend Defendants' deadline to file their response (the "Response") to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification (Dkt. No. 40) (the "Motion"), currently due April 27, 2020, by 14 days to May 11, 2020 and for Plaintiff's Motion, currently noted for May 1, 2020, to be noted for consideration on May 15, 2020. Good cause exists for this extension because Defendants are currently reviewing Plaintiff's putative classes and proposed class definitions along with accompanying materials, and additional time may be helpful in narrowing the scope of disputed issues to be addressed in Defendants' Response.

## II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

In this civil class action brought pursuant to the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994, 38 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.*, Plaintiff seeks to certify two classes

of pilots employed by Defendants who allegedly did not accrue vacation or sick time while on periods of military leave.

This case was filed in December 2018. Trial is set for December 7, 2020. Plaintiff filed the Motion on April 7, 2020, which is noted for a hearing on May 1, 2020. Defendants' Response is currently due April 27, 2020.

## III. ARGUMENT

The Court may extend a party's deadline to respond to a motion where good cause exists. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(a); *Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc.*, 624 F.3d 1253, 1259 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[R]equests for extensions of time made before the applicable deadline has passed should normally . . . be granted in the absence of bad faith on the part of the party seeking relief or prejudice to the adverse party.") (internal quotation marks omitted).

The parties stipulate that good cause exists to extend Defendants' time to respond to Plaintiff's Motion by 14 days because Defendants are currently reviewing Plaintiff's putative classes and proposed class definitions along with accompanying materials, and additional time may be helpful in narrowing the scope of disputed issues to be addressed in Defendants' Response. (See Dkt. No. 40 at 4.) Specifically, Defendants and Plaintiff have been in communication to determine the scope of disputed issues regarding Plaintiff's proposed putative classes and class definitions, and these discussions could potentially narrow the issues that will be addressed by Defendants' Response and will need to be considered by this Court. Therefore, good cause exists for a short, two-week extension that will allow the parties additional time to discuss Plaintiff's proposed class definitions.

This is the first request by the parties for an extension of time for Defendants to file a Response to Plaintiff's Motion

1	V. CONCLUSION
2	For the foregoing reasons, the parties respectfully move the Court to extend Defendants'
3	time to file a Response to Plaintiff's Motion from April 27, 2020 to May 11, 2020, and to notice
4	Plaintiff's Motion for May 15, 2020.
5	FOR DEFENDANTS:
6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114	By: /s/ Mark W. Robertson Mark W. Robertson (admitted pro hac vice) (N.Y. Bar #4508248) O'Melveny & Myers LLP 7 Times Square New York, New York 10036 Tel.: (212) 326-2000 Fax: (212) 326-2061 mrobertson@omm.com  Counsel for Defendants  Kathryn S. Rosen, WSBA #29465 Davis Wright Tremaine 920 5th Avenue, Ste. 3300 Seattle, Washington 98104-1610 Tel.: (206) 622-3150 Fax: (206) 757-7700 katierosen@dwt.com  Tristan Morales (admitted pro hac vice) (D.C. Bar # 1011373) O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1625 Eye Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20006 Tel.: (202) 383-5300 Fax: (202) 383-5414 tmorales@omm.com
15	FOR PLAINTIFF:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	By: /s/ Gene J. Stonebarger Gene J. Stonebarger(admitted Pro Hac Vice) gstonebarger@stonebargerlaw.com Crystal L. Matter (admitted Pro Hac Vice) cmatter@stonebargerlaw.com STONEBARGER LAW 101 Parkshore Drive Suite 100 Folsom, California 95630 Phone: 916.235.7140 Fax: 916.235.7141  Daniel Kalish dkalish@hkm.com HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP 600 Stewart Street, Ste 901 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: 206-838-2504  Brian J. Lawler (admitted Pro Hac Vice) blawler@pilotlawcorp.com PILOT LAW, P.C. 850 Beech Street, Suite 713 San Diego, California 92101 Phone: 866.512.2465 Fax: 619.231.4984  Charles M. Billy (admitted Pro Hac Vice) cbilly@cmblawcorp.com The Law Offices of Charles M. Billy, APC 22706 Aspan Street, Ste 305 Lake Forest, CA 92630 Phone: 949-357-9636
26	Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

**ORDER** 

Based on the foregoing stipulation, the Court ORDERS that Plaintiff's motion for class certification (Dkt. No. 40) is noted for hearing on May 15, 2020, and Defendants' response to Plaintiff's motion, if any, shall be filed by May 11, 2020.

Dated this 24th day of April, 2020.

MMS (aswik)
The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Court Judge